IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

EASTERN DIVISION

ELIZABETH GOODWIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 1:15-CV-0027

Judge Donald C. Nugent

CITY OF CLEVELAND, et al.,

Defendants.

Defendants.

THE DEPOSITION OF LIEUTENANT ROBERT TUCKER WEDNESDAY, JUNE 8, 2016

The deposition of LIEUTENANT ROBERT TUCKER, a witness, taken as if upon cross-examination by the Plaintiff, under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before me, Janet M. Hoffmaster, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, pursuant to Notice, at Burke Lakefront Airport, 1501 North Marginal Road, Cleveland, Ohio, commencing at 12:59 p.m., the day and date above set forth.

HOFFMASTER & BARBERIC, INC.
THE GRAY'S BLOCK
1360 WEST 9TH STREET, SUITE 440
CLEVELAND, OHIO 44113
(216) 621-2550
FAX: (216) 621-3377
1-888-595-1970

```
Page 2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
 3
           ALPHONSE A. GERHARDSTEIN, ESO.
           Gerhardstein & Branch
 4
           441 Vine Street, Suite 3400
           Cincinnati, OH 45202
 5
           (513) 621-9100 Ext. 17
 6
           DAVID B. MALIK, ESQ.
          Law Office of David B. Malik & Associates
 7
          Chester Professional Building
           8437 Mayfield Road, Suite 101
          Chesterland, OH 44026
 8
           (440) 729-8260
 9
10
     On behalf of the Defendant City of Cleveland:
11
          SHAWN M. MALLAMAD, ESQ.
          Assistant Director of Law
12
          Department of Law
          601 Lakeside Avenue, Room 106
13
          Cleveland, OH 44114
          (216) 664-3774
14
15
     On behalf of the Defendants Scott Aldridge and Bryan
     Myers:
16
          JOHN P. BACEVICE, JR., ESQ.
17
          Assistant Director of Law
          Department of Law
18
          601 Lakeside Avenue, Room 106
          Cleveland, OH 44114
19
          (216) 664-2807
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 3
 1
                                INDEX
 2
                                                PAGES
 3
     CROSS-EXAMINATION BY
 4
           MR. GERHARDSTEIN
                                                    4
 5
 6
 7
 8
     PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS MARKED
 9
         42
                                                   16
10
11
12
     OBJECTIONS BY
13
          MR. MALLAMAD
                              24(3), 28, 39(2), 41, 44, 47,
     48, 49(2), 58(3), 59(2), 60, 61, 65, 66, 77, 78(2),
14
     79(3), 80(2), 81(3), 82(2), 83(2), 84(2), 85(2), 86,
     87, 88(3), 89(2), 90, 92(5), 93(2), 94, 95, 98, 100(4),
15
     101(2), 103(2), 104(2), 105(3), 106(3), 107
16
          MR. BACEVICE
                              16, 54, 58(3), 59(3), 60(2),
     61, 62, 63(3), 65, 66, 68, 70(2), 72(2), 74, 76(2), 77,
17
     78(3), 79(3), 80, 81(2), 82(2), 83(3), 84(2), 85(4),
     86(4), 87(2), 88(2), 89, 90(2), 92(4), 93(2), 94, 99,
18
     100(3), 101(3), 103(2), 104(2), 105(3), 106(3), 107
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 4 1 LIEUTENANT ROBERT TUCKER 2 a witness, called for examination by the Plaintiff, 3 under the Rules, having been first duly sworn, as 4 hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN: 7 Q. Good afternoon. 8 Good afternoon. Α. 9 Ο. State your full name. 10 A. First name is Robert, middle name is Anthony, 11 last name is Tucker, T-U-C-K-E-R. 12 Q. And where do you work? 13 City of Cleveland, Division of Police. Α. 14 0. What rank are you? 1.5 A. I'm a lieutenant. 16 Q. What's your highest level of education? 17 Α. One year of college. 18 0. And briefly trace your employment with 19 Cleveland. 20 I started at the police academy in February of 21 Graduated from the police academy I believe in 22 June of '98. I was assigned to the Third District 23 basic patrol at 2001 Payne Avenue. I spent 10 years 24 I was promoted to sergeant I want to say in there. 25 April or May of 2008.

I was then sent to the city's east side which was the Fifth, the northeast side which is the Fifth District. I was a patrol sergeant from 2008 to 2012.

2012 up until January of 2014 I was the officer in charge of the Fifth District Vice Unit. In January of 2014 I was assigned to the Bureau of Integrity Control, Internal Affairs Unit.

In June of 2015 I was promoted to lieutenant at which time I was assigned to my current position which is the officer in charge of the Inspections Unit which is also under the umbrella of the Bureau of Integrity Control.

- Q. Okay. What did you do to get ready for this deposition?
- A. Met with Shawn briefly yesterday for about an hour, and I reviewed my written reports.
- Q. Your written reports regarding Tanisha Anderson?
- A. Yes.

MR. GERHARDSTEIN: I don't have those.

Yeah, I have references to them in the report from the lady who took the pictures, and I got references to them in Borden, but I don't have anything from him.

	Page 6	5
1	MR. MALLAMAD: Is this	
2	the totality of it?	
3	This is your written report.	
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
5	MR. MALLAMAD: Had no	
6	idea, sorry.	
7	I assume that that was produced, but.	
8	MR. MALIK: Do they	
9	have a copy machine here?	
10	MR. MALLAMAD: I don't	
11	know.	
12	MR. BACEVICE: Probably	
13	somewhere.	
14	BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN:	
15	Q. Well, it looks like you got multiple copies	
16	here, right?	
17	A. I don't believe I don't believe those are	
18	multiple copies. I believe they're all they may	
19	appear similar, but	
20	MR. GERHARDSTEIN: I see.	
21	And I suppose we can't make copies here,	
22	huh?	
23	MR. BACEVICE: If you	
24	want to take a minute to review that and I	
25	can walk around and see if there's an	The state of the s
		96000

		Page 7
1		office where we can make copies, take five
2		minutes.
3		MR. GERHARDSTEIN: Do you
4		mind if we go ahead then?
5		MR. BACEVICE: Go ahead.
6	BY MR	. GERHARDSTEIN:
7	Q.	Did you know well, you looked at your
8	report	ts, you met with Shawn, did you do anything else?
9	A.	No.
10	Q.	Did you look at anybody else's reports, talk to
11	anyboo	dy else?
12		You have to say yes or no, I'm sorry.
13	A.	I'm sorry, no.
14	Q.	You and I are communicating
15	A.	I know, I was just
16	Q.	but we're making a record here. All right.
17		Talk to anybody who's been deposed in this case?
18	A.	No.
19	Q.	All right. Let me just make sure I understand
20	what t	he Inspection Unit is.
21		Tell me about it.
22	A.	So we're under the Bureau of Integrity Control,
23	and ba	sically our main function in the division is to
24	ensure	compliance with division policy and procedures.
25	Q.	Ensure compliance with division policy and

Page 8 1 procedures in all respects for everything? 2 Α. Yes. 3 I mean are we talking procurement and contracts 0. 4 as well as officer conduct? 5 Mainly officer conduct in reference to our 6 general police orders and our manual of rules and 7 regulations and any other directive, division notices, memos. 8 9 And you said you're under the Bureau of 10 Integrity Control. How does that relate to Internal 11 Affairs? 12 Α. The Bureau of Integrity Control consists of the Inspections Unit and Internal Affairs. So Internal 13 14 Affairs is tasked with conducting criminal 15 investigations involving members of the division of 16 police, and the Inspections Unit does not. We mainly 17 focus on administrative matters. 18 0. So any recommendations for discipline would come 19 out of the Inspection Unit? 20 They could come out of both. When an Internal 21 Affairs investigation, the criminal part is concluded, 22 they're also tasked with identifying violations of 23 policy regarding that investigation. 24 So do investigations have both Internal Affairs

and Inspection Unit personnel tagging along behind the

1 | people doing the actual investigation?

A. No. If there's any criminality, it will go to Internal Affairs. Internal Affairs will handle the criminal investigation. When that is exhausted, they'll look at the event administratively.

We may be giving -- we may be given, the

Inspections Unit may be given an invest if there's no

criminality, if there's no allegations of criminality.

Could be police misconduct, violations of policy and so
on and so forth.

- Q. What was the role of the Inspections Unit with respect to the Tanisha Anderson death in custody?
- A. To my -- to my knowledge, none.
- Q. So you got involved because at that point you were part of Internal Affairs.
  - A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

2.1

23

24

- Q. But I just read a memo which we'll mark as an exhibit where you actually are the one making a recommendation on discipline.
- 20 A. Correct.
  - Would you like me to explain that?
- 22 Q. Yeah, yeah.
  - A. So when there is a use of deadly force, and an in custody death would constitute a use of deadly force investigation, the Homicide Unit is the primary

investigating unit for -- for what we would consider an UDFIT.

- Q. A Use of Deadly Force Investigation Team?
- A. Correct. The Internal Affairs Unit, and at times the Inspection Unit, but in this case just the Internal Affairs Unit would monitor that investigation.

So there would be a response from the Internal Affairs Unit and we would monitor what the Homicide Unit is doing, essentially.

The interviews, any type of measurements that were taken, a canvass of the area, subsequent interviews, so on and so forth, we would basically monitor that.

When the Homicide Unit would conclude their investigation, they would then forward the case file to the Internal Affairs Unit and then an administrative review would be conducted of that investigation to ensure everything was done properly and professionally and in a thorough manner.

- Q. Okay. But at this point we're still within Internal, and you're saying that in that instance Internal's the one that makes recommendations on discipline? Because it came up out of a criminal investigation?
- A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Sort of an odd division of responsibilities
- 2 because it's sort of happenstance, the only reason this
- 3 is a criminal investigation is that there was a death,
- 4 | right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. So you want the highest level of scrutiny, I
- 7 | assume?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. So with your new hat, now that you're in
- 10 | Inspection Unit, if this had occurred today would it be
- 11 | investigated any differently?
- 12 A. Given other circumstances, potentially yes.
- Because prior to and after the Tanisha Anderson
- 14 incident and a few other incidents things are starting
- 15 to change in the division as to how these matters are
- 16 investigated.

- 17 | Q. Tell me about that.
- 18 A. Well, there's been conversations with the county
- 19 prosecutor, the Department of Justice is currently
- 20 here. I believe an MOU was actually drafted at some
- 21 point regarding outside agencies potentially handling
- 22 these types of incidents, UDFITs, so we're kind of in
- 23 that -- in that phase of this, you know, of reforming
- 24 how things are done, for lack of better words.
  - Q. Well, as it stands today is anything in place

- that would make an investigation of an incident like this different than what occurred starting on November 12, 2014?
  - A. I don't believe anything's finalized. I think that if there was an UDFIT right now, I think that our Homicide Unit would respond and our Internal Affairs would respond, and if it was a full UDFIT, possibly members from the Inspections Unit would respond as well.
- 10 Q. And by full you're contrasting that with a light call-out?
- 12 A. Correct.

- Q. So tell me the difference between full and light?
  - A. So when there's an UDFIT, whether it be an officer involved shooting or an in custody death, at the time it would have been Commander Chura or Deputy Chief Tomba would make a determination whether it was a light or full UDFIT. And that would refer to the response.

If it was an officer involved shooting and there were several persons involved in it and you had an expansive crime scene, that would probably be a full call-up, meaning basically more personnel would respond.

Something, maybe an in custody death may be a light call-up. In this case, in the Tanisha Anderson, it was a light call-up which means it wasn't a full response.

- Q. When there's a full call-up, and I think you just mentioned this, you could have people from Internal and from Inspections both monitoring the UDFIT team.
- 9 A. Correct.

2.0

- 10 Q. Is that a total duplication? What's the real division of labor there?
  - A. Well, what would happen, members from the Internal Affairs Unit and members from the Inspection Unit during a full call-up, we would basically attach ourselves to investigators; could be homicide detectives, could be crime scene investigators, and we would monitor their investigation.

That member would then report, would write a report, documenting what they observed.

Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, but the sense I'm getting is the full call-up, yes, involves both Internal and Inspection, but what you really need are more monitors because you have more investigators and you're doing man-for-man defense or one-on-one monitors.

- A. You wouldn't be wrong by saying that, that's part of it, sure.
- Q. Okay. And the way you monitor is, as you say, attaching yourself to an investigator. So if I'm
- 5 right, in Tanisha Anderson you attached yourself to
- 6 David Borden?

1

2

- A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And then you just watched what he did and wrote 9 up a summary of what he did and whether it followed 10 policy and procedure.
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And if you had even more David Bordens out
  13 there, UDFIT team members doing investigations, you'd
  14 need more Tuckers because you're doing one-for-one.
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. That would be the goal.
- 18 Q. These reports that you prepare as a monitor of
- 19 the UDFIT team, where do those go? Since I had such
- 20 trouble getting it, but that's aside.
- A. They would go to the person that is assigned that case.
- 23 Q. The person in Internal?
- 24 **A**. Yes.
- 25 Q. Assigned that case?

- A. They may go through the OIC first, so if -- if just -- if you had three Inspection Unit sergeants that monitored an UDFIT, they would complete a monitoring report and then they would then forward that -- well, it would go through the chain of command, it would go through our commander, but it would ultimately go to the investigator in Internal Affairs that would be charged with handling that case.
- 9 Q. So the investigator in Internal Affairs charged
  10 with handling the Tanisha Anderson case was Monroe
  11 Goins?
- 12 A. It was me. Monroe Goins was the lieutenant and still is the lieutenant in the Internal Affairs Unit.
- Q. Okay. But your memos -- okay. So your memos went to him because he's your lieutenant.
- 16 A. The chain of command, correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

22

23

24

- Q. But that's also sort of pro forma. I mean, is he really monitoring your work, or is every memo you write on the case to him, or both?
- 20 A. No. He -- he's monitoring and --
- 21 Q. Or supervising your work.
  - A. Correct. But as a matter of, yes, I would, in this case, from me to Monroe Goins, I am addressing my reports to him because he was my lieutenant at the time.

Page 16 1 Q. All right. 2 MR. GERHARDSTEIN: Let's mark 3 this. 4 (Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 42 5 to the deposition of LIEUTENANT ROBERT 6 TUCKER was marked for identification.) MR. BACEVICE: Can you 8 note an objection to Exhibit 42, please, 9 and all subsequent questions? 10 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN: 11 I'm going to show you what's been marked as 12 Exhibit 42. Do you recognize that? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And that's a seven-page set of memos that you 15 wrote as part of your duties in the Tanisha Anderson 16 case? 17 Α. Yes. 18 There's three memos there? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And all of them are directed to your lieutenant 21 at the time who was Monroe Goins. 22 Α. Correct. 23 And just -- I'm sticking with just understanding 24 how this works. 25 So the actual file is in Internal in the custody

- 1 of Monroe Goins?
- A. A copy of that file should be in the Internal
  Affairs Unit and it should contain these documents.
  - Q. And did you also maintain a file?
- 5 A. No. I maintained the reports that I produced.
- 6 Q. And did you, as far as this case goes, did you
- 7 | have as an Internal Affairs monitor -- is that what
- 8 you're called?

- 9 A. Investigator.
- 10 Q. Investigator, did you have as an Internal
- 11 Affairs investigator any duties beyond monitoring the
- 12 | work of David Borden?
- 13 A. Yes. I was directed to complete an
- 14 administrative review of the incident.
- 15 Q. And who directed you to do that?
- 16 A. If I remember correctly, could have been
- 17 | Lieutenant Goins and/or Commander Heffernan, one or
- 18 | both of them.
- 19 Q. And an administrative review of the incident
- 20 involves your recommendations as to whether based on
- 21 all the facts from all of the investigators you found
- 22 any violations of policy and procedure.
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | O. Is that fair?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And that would involve both Myers and Aldridge.
- 2 A. Correct.

- 3 | Q. Was there anyone else you were looking at?
- A. I would look at the entire incident from beginning to end.
- Q. And would you look at it for observations about maybe the policy was followed but you would see a deficiency in the way the policy is written?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And would you make observations about that type of problem as well?
- 12 **A**. Yes.
- Q. And when you say that you're making a determination as an administrative reviewer, have you had any particular training in how to take a bundle of facts and determine which policies and procedures apply and whether they were followed or not?
- A. Specific to policy and procedures, I can't say that I have, but I'm -- I'm a certified Internal Affairs investigator.
- 21 Q. What does that mean?
- A. That means I attended an accredited school that
  -- how would I say -- I obtained training. There are
  several different agencies that will offer training in
  these types of matters, one of them is the Police

Page 19 1 Agency Training Council. 2 Q. Where is that? 3 A. Where is it headquartered at? 4 0. Yeah. 5 A. I'm unsure. 6 Where did you get your training? Q. 7 **A**. Nashville, Tennessee. 8 Q. And how is training as an Internal Affairs 9 investigator different than training as a homicide or 10 other type of investigator? 11 When you have training for Internal Affairs, 12 officer misconduct, managing police discipline, it 13 focuses on the actual agency, if that makes any sense, 14 and its members. 15 Q. Well, keep talking to me. What does that mean? 16 Well, if you take a course in investigations, 17 some investigations would both help you with 1.8 investigating police officers and I guess it could help you investigating civilians. 19 20 Q. Okay. 21 But some of the training, when you're talking 22 about managing police discipline and internal investigations, focus on investigating law enforcement 23 officers. 24 25 Q. So give me an example, what's unique about

investigating law enforcement officers.

A. Just given the profession itself, there are unique situations. You have union issues, you have rank issues, they're sworn law enforcement which adds unique characteristics to what you may be looking at.

Interviewing, Garrity versus Miranda. And then you have the discipline side of things, consistent and fair discipline, discipline matrix. Some of this would fall under different leadership courses that -- that, you know, so on and so forth.

- 12 Q. Is there any manual or set of guidelines unique 12 to Cleveland that you follow as -- or you followed as 13 an Internal Affairs investigator?
- 14 A. There is a manual.
- 15 | Q. What's that called?
- A. I believe it's called the Cleveland Division of Police Internal Affairs Manual.
- 18 | Q. And is that still -- is there such a manual
- 19 | that's still current?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Is there a similar manual for the Inspection
- 22 Unit?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 23 **A**. Yes.
- 24 Q. So there's an Inspection Unit manual and an
- 25 Internal Affairs manual.

Page 21 1 Correct. Α. 2 And do these manuals guide the work of the 0. 3 investigators who monitor UDFIT? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Do the investigators who monitor UDFIT actively 6 do things during the investigation or do they literally 7 shadow and observe? 8 Α. They shadow and observe. 9 So what if they see something going down that's Q. 10 wrong? 11 Α. Then -- then they need to address it. 12 Okay. And that should be done in real time. 0. 13 Correct. Α. 14 They don't just wait and play gotcha. 0. 15 Α. Correct. 16 So if a statement's being taken that -- and the 17 Garrity status of the person giving the statement is 18 unclear, the investigator might speak up and say, you 19 know what, maybe we ought to clear this up before you 20 proceed. 21 Α. Sure. 22 Q. That kind of thing. 23 Α. Sure.

## Hoffmaster & Barberic, Inc. (216) 621-2550

So with respect to Tanisha Anderson, would you

24

25

0.

All right.

Page 22 say that that UDFIT investigation was only monitored by 1 2 Internal and not by Inspections. 3 To the best of my knowledge it was Internal Affairs. 4 5 And if it were monitored by both, wouldn't the Q. administrative recommendations for discipline come out 6 7 of Inspections? 8 Α. No. 9 Okay. Tell me about that. Q. 10 A. Because it was an UDFIT, that would 11 automatically make it Internal Affairs. 12 So the only reason Inspections might get Q. 13 involved in an UDFIT is, again, when you need more bodies. 14 15 Α. Correct. Did you know Officer Aldridge --16 Q. 17 Α. I did not. 18 Q. -- prior to this? You have to say yes or no. 19 20 I'm sorry, no, I did not -- no, I did not. A. And what about Myers, did you know him at all? 21 Q. 22 I did not. Α. 23 Let's go to November 12, 2014. Q. 24 Well, first of all, you were transferred to

Internal after many years in patrol, right?

Page 23 Correct. 1 A. 2 Did you want to go there? Q. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. It can't be very popular. 5 It's not. Α. Why did you want to do that? 6 Q. 7 A. The experience. 8 Why? 0. 9 You gain a tremendous amount of investigative A. 10 experience. 11 Well, and I suppose it would help you with if 12 you want to compete for a higher rank, if you've had 13 that kind of responsibility as well, right? 14 Α. It could help you in the promotional process. 15 Have you -- having been both in Inspections and Q. 16 in Internal, do you like it now? 17 Α. The Internal Affairs Unit or the Inspections 18 Unit? 19 Q. Either one. 20 I like both. Α. 21 Yeah. What do you like about it? Q. 22 Α. Again, as far as Internal Affairs goes, probably 23 the investigative aspect of it. 24 The Inspections Unit, it's not as heavy on 25 investigative work, but we're really, really busy and

Page 24 we're part of the DOJ implementation with the 1 2 settlement agreement, so we have an active role in that 3 and we're -- we're very busy. 4 Do you think just from your own perspective 5 having been around so long before the DOJ agreement and now that the Cleveland Police Department will be better 6 7 off with the implementation of the consent agreement? 8 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 9 You can answer. 10 For the most part. A. 11 0. Why? 12 MR. MALLAMAD: Same 13 objection. Go ahead and answer. 14 15 Why would it be better? Α. 16 Yeah. Q. 17 We needed reform in some areas. Α. 18 Q. What areas did you think you needed reform? 19 MR. MALLAMAD: Just to, 20 if it's okay, show a standing objection --21 MR. GERHARDSTEIN: That's 22 fine. 23 MR. MALLAMAD: -- to 24 these questions about the DOJ, but you can 25 answer.

Page 25 1 THE WITNESS: Answer? 2 Okay. 3 One, record-keeping, how we track the use of Α. 4 force, how we track pursuits, the use of force, motor 5 vehicle collisions for one. 6 Q. Okay. 7 How we track our training. 8 Q. Okay. Any other areas where you thought you 9 needed changes? 10 I think the -- it will provide supervisors with 11 training that will benefit the division. I think 12 that's important. 13 0. Have you observed any gaps in training as a 14 result of your work implementing the decree? We haven't really -- we haven't focused on that 15 aspect of it. 16 17 What is your role with respect to implementing 18 the changes? 19 Initially when the monitor was named, I and two 20 of my sergeants, my commander, and Deputy Chief 21 O'Neill, we were given the role of this implementation 22 team. 23 And basically initially what it was was 24 providing them with all of the documents that they 25 requested to perform a baseline, if you will, or to

gain an understanding of the division, how the division works.

Initially that was what we did. I'll attend meetings on our policy implementation. We have a team that is rewriting policy, I'll attend those meetings.

The monitors, maybe not anymore, but initially they were involved in a lot of ride-alongs. We would schedule all of those ride-alongs and introduce them to the command staff and get them around.

We've established a webpage that was part of the settlement agreement with our IT people, so we got that webpage up and running.

One of the two of us sergeants attends the meetings with the Cleveland Police Commission, the community meetings, she attends those and reports on those, things of that nature.

- Q. So are you still part of the implementation team?
- 19 A. I am.

2.2

And also software, to track, analyze, report on use of force, pursuits, motor vehicle collisions, firearm discharges, commendations, all of those types of things, we have a software that's up and running, and I'm also part of that.

Q. That's the employee tracking system?

- A. Yeah, it's an early warning --
- 2 Q. Yeah.

1

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 3 A. -- system. Blueteam IAPro.
- Q. And there was one, at least on paper, prior to the decree, right? An early warning system.
  - A. We -- we -- it actually -- we started that prior to the monitor being named. We started using IAPro in January of 2015.
- 9 Q. Was there not a time when you had a software
  10 package like that but that employees were allowed to
  11 opt out of any tracking?
- A. Okay. So that -- that, what you're referencing,

  was through our employees assistance program.
- 14 0. Oh.
  - A. If that's what you're referencing, and actually Blueteam and IAPro is also, the -- yeah, the administrator of Blueteam and IAPro is actually a detective in the Employees Assistance Unit.

But there was a program that I think that you're referencing that, yes, they could, it was a voluntary type thing.

Q. Right. But from a supervision point of view you really don't want to have officers opting out of your employee tracking system if you want a comprehensive look at the conduct of the officers in all of these

Page 28 1 fields, right? 2 I mean, it doesn't work if they opt out. 3 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 4 You can answer. 5 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN: 6 0. Right? 7 MR. MALLAMAD: You can 8 answer. 9 Α. I would say with the current system that we have 10 in place, it -- it tracks your employees more 11 efficiently. 12 And it tracks all of them, right? 13 Correct. 14 So you no longer have employees opting out of the tracking in these various areas that are monitored 15 16 by the Blueteam or -- software? 17 Well, I can't really speak for the Employees Assistance Unit because, you know, there's other issues 18 19 beyond the use of force and vehicle pursuits and so on 20 and so forth. 21 There are other issues that the Employees 22 Assistance Unit deals with when you're talking about 23 opting out or not, voluntary -- you know. 24 Q. Okay. 25 Getting into these things, I really can't speak Α.

- 1 to that part of it.
- 2 Q. But use of force, attaboys, commendations,
- 3 discharge of firearms, missing court, sick days, those
- 4 | are all things you're tracking for everybody, right?
- 5 A. We're not currently tracking sick days in
- 6 Blueteam and IAPro yet.
- 7 Q. But you will.
- 8 A. I believe -- but I believe we can, but to answer
- 9 | your question, for the most part, yes.
- 10 | Q. So let's go to November 12, 2014.
- How did you become involved with the Tanisha
- 12 | Anderson case?
- 13 A. Early morning, November 13th, I believe it was
- 14 after one -- between one and two o'clock, I received a
- 15 | text message directing me to respond to the location.
- 16 0. From who?
- 17 A. Commander Brian Heffernan.
- 18 Q. And where is he on the food chain?
- 19 A. He's a commander of the Bureau of Integrity
- 20 | Control. He's in charge of the Inspection Unit and the
- 21 Internal Affairs Unit, and he's who I report to.
- 22 Q. Now.
- 23 A. Now, correct.
- 24 | Q. Right. So on November 13th who were his direct
- 25 | reports?

Page 30 1 Α. Who -- who did Commander Heffernan report to? 2 Q. Who were the people that reported to him. 3 Α. Oh, Captain Robert -- or, I'm sorry, then Lieutenant Robert Simon and Lieutenant Monroe Goins. 4 5 Q. And it was Simon, Inspections? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. And Goins was Internal. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And then Goins had how many direct reports, 10 people that reported to him? 11 Oh, then? The unit has changed since and we 12 were pretty short at the time. I would probably say --13 I want to say four. 14 0. And you're all sergeants --15 Α. Yes. 16 -- at the time? 17 Α. I don't know exactly how many, but it was 18 maybe four, maybe five at that time. 19 And Simon similarly had sergeants reporting to Q. 20 him? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Now, you used the term officer in charge. 23 How does that fit in this table of organization? 24 Α. So right now as a lieutenant I'm the officer in

charge of the Inspection Unit, and Lieutenant Goins is

the officer in charge of the Internal Affairs Unit.

That just means that essentially we're the highest ranking officers in that unit.

- Q. So is that a formal title, officer in charge, or is it just another way of saying lieutenant?
- A. No. You could be an officer in charge, you could be a sergeant.
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. Officer in charge is a term that's used quite frequently throughout the division. Right now in every district there's an officer in charge of a district and it's a sergeant and he's sitting in the office and he's in charge of that district.
- So it's sometimes used interchangeably, but when you're referring to a unit, it's the highest ranking member in that unit.
- 17 Q. Because some units might be led by people of different rank depending on --
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. -- the total deployment?
- A. But when I was in the Vice Unit, I was the officer in charge of the Vice Unit and I was a sergeant.
- 24 Q. But you could have been -- you could be replaced by a lieutenant?

- A. Correct. At the time the plan was to have a sergeant in charge of the Vice Unit, but, yes, to answer your question, yes.
- Q. Okay. So you get a text from Heffernan, and what's it say?
  - A. Said something to the extent, the address on Ansel, the exact address escapes me now, the 1300 block of Ansel, light call-up, and I believe there was a mention that it was an in custody death.
- 10 Q. All right. Then what happened?
- 11 A. I got out of bed and responded there.
- 12 Q. So what time did you get there?
- A. I would probably say within an hour of receiving
  the text message. The exact time is probably
  documented somewhere. It may actually be in this
  report.
  - Would you like me to reference the report?
  - Q. That's okay.
- 19 So who was there when you got there?
- A. Lieutenant Goins. I think we arrived almost at the same time.
- 22 Q. And who else was there from the --
- 23 A. Sergeant Maruniak.
- Q. So these, both Goins and Maruniak are Internal?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

17

Page 33 So you're assembling your light call-out team in 0. order to attach yourselves to the UDFIT counterparts. A. Correct. All right. So then what happened? Q. We -- I arrive, I pulled next to Lieutenant Goins in our vehicles and no one was there. He had some information that everybody was at the hospital and that they were going to return. Slowly personnel started returning back to the scene. Okay. So who came back to the scene? Q. I could tell you who came to the scene because I'm not exactly sure who was at the hospital. Q. Okay. Α. So I don't know if they came --0. All right. That's fine.

- 14
- 15
- 17 Detective Borden was there from the Homicide Unit, 18 Detective Rhonda Gray from the Homicide Unit, Sergeant

-- where they came from, but I remember

- 19 Diedre Jones from the Homicide Unit, a crime scene
- 20 detective showed up, her name escapes me right now, the
- 21 Third District Officers Aldridge and Myers.
- 22 Carla Crowell, was that the crime scene 0.
- detective? 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 24 That could be her name, yes. Α.
- 25 Okay. And who was the next one? Q.

- 1 A. Officers Myers and Aldridge showed up, Sergeant
- 2 Rochelle Bottone, and I want to say her lieutenant
- 3 arrived on scene, and his name escapes me.
- 4 | Q. Okay. And what happened as these people drifted
- 5 | in?
- 6 A. I was assigned to monitor Detective Borden's
- 7 investigation.
- 8 0. How did that come about?
- 9 A. I was assigned to do that through Lieutenant
- 10 Goins.
- 11 Q. Why did Goins make the assignments?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- 13 Q. Is he the senior officer, or --
- 14 A. He -- he was -- because he was the officer in
- 15 charge of the Internal Affairs Unit, he would give
- 16 | direction.
- 17 Q. And who was -- who did Goins follow?
- 18 A. I do not know.
- 19 Q. And there was another one of you there, oh,
- 20 | Maruniak, what did he do?
- 21 | A. I believe Detective Gray, Detective Rhonda Gray.
- 22 Q. Okay. So what's that mean, you were assigned to
- 23 | Borden, you literally just walk around with him?
- 24 | A. Yeah.
- 25 | Q. And do you make notes?

- A. You could, and ordinarily, yes, I would.
- 2 Q. Do you have those notes?
- 3 A. I do not.

1

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What do you do with those notes?
- A. I could not tell you where those notes are today.
- Q. Well, why do you take notes and then not -- do you ever reference them, I mean, what's the --
  - A. Yes. When -- when I do my monitoring report, it would be -- I would write down what time I received the page; I would write down what time I responded on scene; I would write down who I monitored; I would write if I cleared one scene and responded to another; if I went to a hospital or into someone else's home, I would write down that.

If I was monitoring someone that was canvassing a street, I would write down addresses, so on and so forth.

The homicide detective that's actually doing the investigation is obviously taking more notes than I.

I'm making sure that everything is handled properly, so my notes would be brief and would basically consist of arrival times, departure times, maybe an address or who a detective was interviewing, a name.

Q. Does all that get transferred into your memo?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And then at the end of that process where you've
- 3 | transferred it into the memo, what do you do with your
- 4 | handwritten notes?
- 5 A. I don't know what I did with these notes.
- 6 Q. What do you normally do?
- 7 A. If I made sure that they were properly
- 8 transcribed and all of the information was on there, I
- 9 may shred them, I may discard them in some way, as long
- 10 as all of the information was on there and I was
- 11 satisfied that it was accurate.
- 12 | Q. Is there any direction to investigators in the
- 13 Internal Affairs manual about what you should do with
- 14 | notes?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Is there any established policy or practice
- 17 | about what you do with your handwritten notes?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. So is it just up to the individual?
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 Q. Do you ever audiotape the work that you're
- 22 | monitoring?
- 23 A. As an Internal Affairs investigator?
- 24 O. Correct.
- 25 A. Always.

- 1 | Q. So as you were walking around with Borden were
- 2 | you taping him?
- 3 A. No. In my work that I did as an Internal
- 4 Affairs investigator, every interview that I did,
- 5 whether it be a phone interview, an in-person
- 6 interview, I either video recorded it or audio recorded
- 7 it.
- 8 Q. Okay. But we are monitoring.
- 9 A. We are monitoring.
- 10 | O. So --
- 11 A. So I did not, because I was not the investigator
- 12 of that incident.
- 13 That's something that the Homicide Unit would do
- 14 or not do on their own.
- 15 | Q. So the UDFIT team are the investigators of the
- 16 | incident and you're monitoring the UDFIT team.
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. As part of your monitoring do you assess whether
- 19 they are properly audiotaping or videotaping the
- 20 subject interviews?
- 21 A. Yes.

25

- 22 Q. And, for example, I know that there was a
- 23 | walk-through --
- 24 A. M-hm, yes.
  - Q. -- in the Tanisha Anderson case.

Page 38 Did anyone audiotape or videotape the 1 2 walk-through? 3 To the best of my knowledge, no. Α. 4 And is that consistent with appropriate 0. 5 investigatory technique to fail to audiotape or 6 videotape a walk-through? 7 In my opinion -- ask me the question one more Α. 8 time, please, how you phrased it. 9 Q. Well --Is it -- did you ask me if it was appropriate? 10 Α. -- is that the way you're supposed to do it? 11 0. 12 Yeah. 13 Α. In my opinion, no. 14 Q. Okay. So you were there. 15 Α. M-hm. 16 I didn't see that called out in your memo. Q. 17 Α. Correct. 18 Q. Why is that? At the time that this happened it was not in any 19 Α. policy that the Homicide Unit video walk-throughs or on 20 scene interviews. So it was not a policy violation. 21 But of course the benefit of the walk-through is 22 Q. that you're seeing the explanation occur in context, 23 24 right? 25 Α. Agreed.

Page 39 I mean, you're on scene, you're -- you're seeing 1 the cruiser, you're seeing the sidewalk, you're seeing 2 3 things in addition to hearing them verbally described, 4 right? 5 Α. Correct. 6 And are you saying that as of November 12th, 7 13th, 2014 there was no requirement of videotaping a 8 walk-through? 9 To the best of my knowledge there was not a Α. 10 requirement. 11 Q. And there is now. 12 To the best of my knowledge there is not a 13 requirement right now. 14 But when you went to PATC school, did you learn Ο. 15 that the standard in the industry is to videotape 16 walk-throughs? 17 A. I don't know if I gained that information from the PATC, but in other training, yes. 18 19 Have you made any recommendations to the City of Ο. Cleveland that they commence videotaping walk-throughs? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 23 You can answer. 24 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN:

## Hoffmaster & Barberic, Inc. (216) 621-2550

And how did that go when you made those

25

Q.

Page 40 1 recommendations? 2 MR. MALLAMAD: Just show 3 a continuing objection to this subject 4 area, but go ahead, Lieutenant. 5 To the best of my knowledge it -- there has not Α. 6 been a policy established regarding. 7 Q. So how did you go about making the 8 recommendation that that policy be implemented? 9 I noted it in my reports. Α. 10 In your DOJ related reports? 0. 11 No, sir. UDFIT reports, as similar to this. A. 12 Q. Okay. Well, I read that really quickly. 13 Is there such a notation in Exhibit 42? 14 I believe there -- I believe there is, there's Α. 15 like --16 Q. Yeah, see if you can find it. 17 Α. This portion --18 0. Okay. You're looking at page 1 of 1 dated 19 February 10, 2015. Go ahead. 20 This bullet point, the second bullet point on 21 that page references an interview from the Homicide Unit, and the second -- and the last paragraph of that 22 23 page references the recordings of interviews. 24 Okay. So the one bullet point says Sergeant 25 Bottone was never -- wasn't required to submit to a

Page 41 recorded interview, and you're suggesting that that should have been done. Α. Yes. So that's a good example of something that you could have addressed in real time, right? Not really. What they would do is the Homicide Unit would, as a standard, have officers respond to the Homicide Unit so that they can record them, whether it be upon just clearing the scene or the next day. It was common practice to have the officers actually respond to the Homicide Unit. Q. And that didn't happen here? To the best of my knowledge, no. And so that was a deviation from common Q. practice. Correct. Again, not -- not technically part of a policy, but would have been a good idea. So I'm not going to see when I read through the Ο. Internal Affairs manual something that just says make sure every principle who handled a person who later died is required to go through a recorded interview? Α.

I don't believe so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But that should be a requirement, right? 0.

> MR. MALLAMAD: Objection.

> > You can answer, Lieutenant.

A. I think that in this case, given her involvement, I think that she should have been interviewed and it should have been recorded.

And I don't recall that being in the manual currently or at the time. I don't recall that being --

Q. Well, if you want to make notes about what should go in a manual going forward, that's fine.

Now, you -- you do point out, and I appreciate this, in addition, and I'm reading, the interviews taken on the scene by homicide investigators were not recorded as discussed in meetings prior to the incident.

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

- Q. Interviews taken on the scene by homicide investigators, what are you referring to?

  Does that include the walk-through?
- 18 A. It -- it could.
- 19 Q. Well, did you intend it to?
  - A. What I was referencing here were the individual interviews at the homicide. I was not referencing the walk-through. And what I'm referencing here were the interviews that were taking -- that were taken by the homicide detectives.
  - Q. Okay. And those are the interviews of family

- 1 members right after they learned that Tanisha died, and
- 2 some of them went to your interview rooms, others
  3 stayed in their homes.
- A. Correct. Some interviews of the witnesses were taken at the Homicide Unit.
  - Q. Right.

6

7

13

22

23

24

25

- A. But I don't believe all of them were.
- 8 Q. Right. And do you know whether all of the
  9 people who were interviewed, whether done in Homicide
  10 or back at the house, were offered the opportunity to
  11 be taped?
- 12 A. They were.
  - Q. So you're saying they should have been taped?
- A. I'm saying that on the scene it would be a good practice to at least audio record the interviews.
- Q. And were -- was Joell, were Theresa Overton,
  Casandra Johnson, were they all offered the opportunity
  to be audiotaped?
- A. I believe they were asked to respond to the
  Homicide Unit to be -- to -- where a recorded interview
  would have been conducted.
  - Q. Now, of course, they're in -- it's the worst day of their lives, their family member just died in front of their house. I mean, you're not criticizing them for failing to go down to the Homicide Unit, right?

Page 44 1 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 2 You can answer. 3 A. No. 4 But you're saying since they did agree to some 0. 5 level of interview at their home, it would have been 6 good to record them there. 7 Α. Yes. And do you know if that offer was made to record 8 0. 9 them at the interview in their home? 10 A. To the best of my knowledge, no. 11 Okay. And that's what you're referring to in 0. 12 your memo. 13 Α. Correct. 14 So let's go back to the walk-through. 0. 15 We got onto this because you have testified that you think it would be a good idea to video the 16 17 walk-throughs so that you have audio and visual, right? 18 Α. Yes. And is that recommendation embraced in your 19 2.0 materials from the Tanisha Anderson case? 21 Α. Specific to the walk-through? 22 Q. Right. 23 Α. No. 24 Now, you talk in this memo at the page you 0. 25 pointed out, in addition, the interviews taken on scene

Page 45 1 were not recorded as discussed in meetings prior to the 2 incident. 3 Tell me about these meetings prior to the 4 incident. 5 Prior to this there were a few meetings where these things were discussed. I cannot tell you the 6 exact date and time of the meeting, and I --Well, what things? What do you mean? Q. Α. UDFIT investigations. Ο. Okay. Things that it's just -- debriefings, things like that, training, that we attended as an Internal Affairs investigator, what we -- what we got from that training, that type of thing. And these matters were discussed and I recommended that there be -- these such interviews be recorded. So take me back to meetings prior to this incident. Are we talking about UDFIT investigators and Internal together? Again, I can't tell you exactly who was there at the -- at the meetings, but these matters were discussed prior to this.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is that what we're doing?

Are these meetings UDFIT investigator training,

A. No. The meetings could have been, you know, an informal meeting or a debriefing about an UDFIT or about an investigation where we would talk about what occurred, you know, what can we do better, the response, so on and so forth.

And that's when -- that's what I -- that's what the reference is here.

- Q. Who else do you recall attending these meetings?
- A. I -- I would be guessing. I would. I really would not -- I don't want to guess.
- 11 Q. How many meetings did this topic come up of 12 recording interviews in real time when you're in the 13 middle of these UDFIT investigations?
- 14 A. I would say twice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And from the UDFIT side who are the most likely candidates of people that would be present?
- A. At the -- at any homicide, any homicide investigator.
  - Q. Could any homicide investigator be assigned to an UDFIT team?
  - A. Yes. And I believe at the time the Homicide Unit had certain individuals that were -- they would primarily go to for UDFITs and maybe others that were not primary for UDFITs.

But to answer your question, any of the homicide

Page 47 1 investigators could be assigned to an UDFIT given 2 availability. 3 Was there an UDFIT manual? 0. Α. 4 Yes. 5 0. Was there a homicide manual? I don't know if homicide has a manual. 6 7 to believe that they do. 8 Does the UDFIT manual address what interviews to 0. 9 record? 10 I do not think that it does, to the best of my 11 knowledge. 12 Why was it your recommendation that interviews 0. 13 be recorded? 14 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 15 You can answer. 16 Α. For accuracy. 17 May I say something --18 Q. Sure, go ahead. 19 -- referring to the manuals? A. 20 In the UDFIT manual, the Homicide manual, the 21 Internal Affairs manual, it -- it may touch on recorded 22 interviews, but to the best of my knowledge it does not 23 address recording interviews on scene, at least an 24 audio interview, if that makes any sense, if I can 25 clarify.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 48 So if the manuals talk about recorded 0. interviews, you think they're likely to be talking about taking somebody into an interview room and you've got the cameras --Α. Yes. -- the whole deal. Q. Yes. And that's -- that's what I believe that Α. it references. But in the real world where you were operating 0. you know that some people get into that room and a lot of people don't. Α. Correct. And so those who don't get into that room still Q. could be taped with a cassette recorder or with a handheld video or with an iPhone. Α. Correct. Q. Right? And you're saying, especially with technology being so easy, I suppose, even an iPhone video would be better than just taking notes. MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. You can answer. Α. Correct. Okay. And you were saying that before the 0.

## Tanisha Anderson case.

Page 49 1 Α. Correct. 2 Q. And it still isn't established policy. 3 A. To the best of my knowledge, no. 4 Q. But you're working on it? 5 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 6 You can answer. 7 A. Several policies are being revisited. 8 Q. Do you have a commitment that that will change, 9 or is it still in discussion? 10 MR. MALLAMAD: Just show 11 a continuing objection to this line of 12 questioning, but go ahead, Lieutenant. 13 I've had no part of that, writing that policy or Α. 14 rewriting that policy, no. 15 Okay. Well, we're making progress. 0. 16 I think you got to the scene and you were just assigned from Goins to work with Borden? 17 18 Α. Correct. 19 And does that mean you just follow him, whatever 20 Borden's up to? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. All right. So what happened? 23 Α. Borden took measurements of the front yard and he interviewed a female, and if I remember correctly 24 25 her name was Overton.

- 1 | Q. How was it determined that he should take
- 2 | measurements of the front yard? How did they divide up
- 3 | their work; do you know?
- 4 A. I do not know.
- 5 Q. And what else did he do that night?
- 6 A. That's all I recall him doing.
- 7 Q. He participated in the walk-through, right?
- 8 A. Okay, yes.
- 9 Q. And so you tagged along.
- 10 A. I was there for that, yes.
- 11 | Q. And the walk-through occurred before or after
- 12 | the measurements?
- 13 A. I want to say it was after.
- 14 Q. And the walk-through occurred before or after
- 15 | the Overton interview?
- 16 A. I want to say the walk-through was the last
- 17 thing that was done, so I want to say that it was
- after. It could have been before, but if my memory
- 19 serves me correctly, it was after.
- 20 Q. What's your recollection of the Overton
- 21 | interview?
- 22 A. I recall Overton being a family member of
- 23 Tanisha Anderson. I'm not exactly sure in what -- how
- 24 | she's a family member.
- I recall her telling Borden that she was in the

house at the time of the incident.

I recall her telling Borden that she was going back and forth from the picture window into the other side of the house.

And I recall her telling Borden that there was another family member, a younger person, if you will, a juvenile that was standing at the picture window and she was walking back and forth to that person.

I don't believe she told Borden that she went outside during the incident. I recall her telling Borden that Joell Anderson was outside, and I want to say that she was detailing her 911 call to Borden, or at least explaining or telling him about the 911 calls and -- and Tanisha Anderson's activity, how she was behaving and things that she was doing.

- Q. What did she say about the initial 911 call?
- A. I recall her telling Borden that they called once and that they had to call back a couple times, I believe.
  - Q. After they called once, they had a response from officers, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Did you ever get involved in interviewing those initial responding officers?
  - A. No, I did not, but Homicide did.

- Q. And you actually read everything in order to come up with your case assessment, right?
  - A. When I did the administrative review --
- 4 Q. Right.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. -- the first time, I did not have a completed homicide file. In one of these -- in one of the reports I outline what I had to conduct that review.

So I had a certain amount of material, but I didn't have a completed homicide case file because it wasn't completed yet.

So I was told to do the review with this information, and this is what information I had to do the review. That's why I outline that information and it's based on this set of information.

- 15 Q. Let me see your -- tell me where it says what 16 you had.
  - A. Second paragraph.
- 18 Q. So I apologize for jumping around, but this is helpful.

So this paragraph says what you looked at in order to come up with your administrative review, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. What was missing as of then when you say it wasn't a complete file?

- 1 A. There are several -- there'll be several
- 2 documents in an UDFIT file.
- 3 Q. Right.
- 4 A. There could be duty reports, there could be
- 5 measurements, there could be -- I don't know if -- the
- 6 | medical examiner's report.
- 7 Q. Yeah, I was going to say, the autopsy's not
- 8 | there, is it?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 | Q. Do you agree? The medical examiner's
- 11 | information isn't in there, right?
- 12 A. The medical examiner's information is not listed
- 13 here, but I can't say that I did not have the medical
- 14 | examiner's report.
- 15 Q. It was done on January 5th.
- 16 A. Right. I just might not have received it from
- 17 | Homicide. I may have had a copy of it, but I'm
- 18 detailing in this paragraph what I received from
- 19 | Homicide.
- 20 Q. Okay. It's not like you didn't know she was
- 21 dead.
- 22 A. Right. So --
- 23 | Q. But the way the medical examiner described the
- 24 death and the cause of death, was that before you?
- 25 A. Before I did this review?

Page 54 1 Q. Yeah. 2 A. I have to say that it was. 3 Q. Okay. 4 Α. This report was completed on January 26th. 5 Q. Okay. So as you sit here today did you ever go 6 back and do another administrative review based on a 7 complete UDFIT file? 8 Α. Sure. 9 So -- where did it go. So on February 10th I received the completed UDFIT file. And this is an 10 addendum to the report that I would have forwarded on 11 12 January 26th. 13 As a result of your receipt of the complete UDFIT file, you didn't recommend any additional 14 15 discipline, right? 16 I made these recommendations here, or I No. 17 noted these two issues here. 18 Q. They failed the spark test and that 19 Sergeant Bottone didn't get interviewed. 20 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 21 Α. Right. Now, it wasn't too late to interview Bottone, 22 Ο. 23 right? I mean, it's only February. 24 Α. Correct. 25 Q. So did she ever get interviewed?

- 1 A. To the best of my knowledge, no.
- 2 Q. Why not?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. Well, you're the Internal Affairs, when you find
- 5 | a mistake can't you just tell them to go fix it?
- 6 A. In some instances maybe yes on the scene. After
- 7 the fact I would make my recommendation and then that
- 8 | would have to come from somebody above me.
- 9 Q. So this is a memo to Goins. It would be up to
- 10 | Goins to tell a lieutenant in Homicide to go get that
- 11 done.
- 12 A. Or -- or make that recommendation as well and
- send that to the commander who then would send that to
- 14 the deputy chief who then would send that to the chief
- 15 of police.
- 16 Q. And then back down. I mean, somehow it's got to
- 17 | get over to Homicide, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And did all that happen?
- 20 A. I don't know. To the best of my knowledge, no.
- 21 Q. All right. I want to finish going through what
- 22 | happened on the night and then I want to get back to
- 23 | your review of the situation.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 | Q. So you talked to -- you listened to the

interview of Overton, and just summarize to the best of your recollection what she said about the content and the activity following those 911 calls that preceded the actual physical encounter with Tanisha Anderson and Officer Aldridge and Myers.

- A. Summarize it?
- Q. Yeah.

A. The entire -- the entire interview?

She started from the beginning, and what I had explained to you earlier may have been a little out of order, but she started from the beginning and she talked about Tanisha Anderson's behavior, what prompted them to call 911. She talked about the 911 calls.

- Q. What was Tanisha Anderson's behavior?
- A. She -- she was explaining to Borden that she was acting in a way that caused them alarm, that she wasn't making sense, she was turning light switches off and on, she was counting repeatedly, rapidly counting. She was -- and, you know, more so she was running in and out of the house. It was colder temperatures and she was running in and out of the house.

She was explaining that type of behavior. She
-- I think -- I believe she told Borden, she described
Tanisha Anderson's history of mental illness,
potentially the hospital that she was at last,

Page 57 1 potentially medications that she was taking and so on 2 and so forth. 3 Have you ever been through a crisis intervention Q. 4 training? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Have you done mental health runs? Q. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Have you supervised officers who are CIT Q. 9 trained? 10 Yes. Α. 11 And have you had people fill out those crisis 12 intervention reports? 13 Α. Yes. 14 So you have a lot of personal experience with 15 police intervention with people who aren't committing crimes but are in need of support and assistance in 16 getting medical treatment. 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 Would you agree that Tanisha Anderson was such a Q. 20 person? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Wasn't doing any crime, right? Q. 23 Α. Correct. She was simply in need of help in getting 24 25 medical assistance.

		Page 58			
1	MR. MALLAMAD:	Objection.			
2	MR. BACEVICE:	Objection.			
3	MR. MALLAMAD:	You may			
4	answer.				
5	BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN:				
6	Q. Right?				
7	A. Correct.				
8	Q. So as you listened to the report of h	er conduct,			
9	and based on your experience, did you hear anything				
10	that made you come to any conclusion as to whether				
11	Tanisha Anderson was engaged in conduct that would				
12	support involuntary hospitalization?				
13	MR. BACEVICE:	Objection.			
14	MR. MALLAMAD:	Objection.			
15	You can answer.				
16	A. Possibly.				
17	Q. I mean, part of your job is to monitor or is				
18	to investigate the UDFIT team. They're looking at the				
19	conduct of the officer.				
20	Would you agree that there came a time when the				
21	officer was trying to forcibly take Tanisha Anderson to				
22	the hospital?				
23	MR. BACEVICE:	Objection.			
24	MR. MALLAMAD:	Objection.			
25	You can answer.				

Page 59 1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο. And so did you come to any conclusion about 3 whether she did enough conduct that looked like a 4 serious risk of harm to herself or others that 5 supported that officer in starting to forcibly take 6 action toward taking her to a hospital? 7 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 8 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 9 You can answer. 10 At the time of the Theresa Overton interview, or 11 after the fact. 12 Q. Well, let's take it a step at a time. 13 As you're listening to Theresa Overton. 14 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 15 I -- I could not draw a conclusion at that time. Α. 16 Okay. So Theresa Overton has told you about the Q. 17 911 call, and then let me just finish this thought, 18 after the fact when you looked at everything tell me what -- how you came out on that issue of -- of the 19 20 need -- of whether there was support for forcibly 21 taking her to the hospital. 22 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 23 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 24 You can answer. 25 A. Okay. I believe that it was reasonable to

Page 76 1 stopped talking, I believe that the officers should have called EMS as well as contacting a supervisor. 2 3 0. Why? Α. The --4 5 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 6 MR. MALLAMAD: You can 7 answer, Lieutenant. 8 I think that in taking the totality of the Α. 9 circumstances, it would have been prudent for the 10 officers to call EMS because of her mental state, her 11 history of mental illness, her -- the fact that she was 12 very -- wearing -- she wasn't wearing appropriate 13 weather -- or appropriate clothing for the weather, 14 that she suddenly stopped moving and talking, and that 15 she was laying on the ground and it was very cold. 16 I think given the totality of those 17 circumstances EMS should have been called right away. 18 Q. Well, didn't you consider at least one of the salient points to be that she stopped moving and 19 20 talking after being placed in restraints, prone on the 21 sidewalk, and after having pressure put on her back; 22 was that important to you? 23 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 24 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 25 You can answer.

A. The position -- the position that Aldridge took on Tanisha Anderson really, for me, I could not know exactly where he placed his knee, given the witness statements and his statement.

I know that he placed his knee somewhere near her shoulder, her back, her mid back, I'm not exactly certain where he placed his knee and I'm not exactly certain for how long his knee was on her back.

So is it an important fact? Sure, yes, but in my conclusion in whether he followed policy or not, the other factors that I described to you were as important as far as him not calling for EMS.

Q. Well, what about any duty under policy and procedure and subject control training to roll her back off of her stomach?

MR. BACEVICE:

Objection.

MR. MALLAMAD:

Objection.

You may answer.

BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Did you address that?

MR. MALLAMAD:

Sorry.

You can answer.

- A. To the best of my knowledge there was no formal training or policy that addresses that specific issue.
- Q. Well, I mean, you're familiar with positional

Page 78 1 asphyxiation, right? 2 Α. I am. 3 And are you saying there's no training on 4 positional asphyxiation? 5 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 6 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 7 You can answer. 8 To the best of my knowledge, there is not. Α. there was not prior to this. 9 10 Were there any policies and procedures that 11 addressed positional asphyxiation? 12 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 13 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 14 You can answer. 15 Α. At this time, no. 16 Q. How did you become familiar with it? 17 A. Just common knowledge that the condition exists. 18 Q. But nothing specific from your training within the Cleveland Police Department? 19 20 Α. No. 21 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 22 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN: 23 Are you aware that persons who have a big belly or heavy are more at risk of positional asphyxiation if 24 25 they're prone when restrained than persons who don't

	Page 79				
1	have a lot of extra weight?				
2	MR. BACEVICE: Objection.				
3	MR. MALLAMAD: Objection.				
4	A. I am.				
5	MR. MALLAMAD: You may				
6	answer.				
7	BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN:				
8	Q. Would you agree, given whatever knowledge you				
9	have of positional asphyxiation, that the risk of				
10	interrupting Tanisha Anderson's breathing would have				
11	been reduced had she been rolled promptly onto her back				
12	after she became unresponsive as opposed to leaving her				
13	prone?				
14	MR. BACEVICE: Objection.				
15	MR. MALLAMAD: Objection.				
16	A. That's reasonable, yes.				
17	Q. So are you saying that that should have happened				
18	but these officers weren't trained to do it?				
19	MR. BACEVICE: Objection.				
20	MR. MALLAMAD: Objection.				
21	A. I'm saying that to the best of my knowledge the				
22	officers were not trained to do it. I wish that would				
23	have happened.				
24	I don't know their level of knowledge, but I				
25	know that they more than likely did not receive				

training in that and it's not in a policy.

Q. So why isn't that a bullet point in your report that officers receive proper training in positional asphyxiation in order to minimize the risk of breathing interruption in detained people?

MR. BACEVICE:

Objection.

MR. MALLAMAD:

Objection.

- A. I believe that to the best of my knowledge after this incident there was a divisional notice or a memo or something that came through the chain of command talking about positional asphyxiation.
- I don't -- I can't cite it. I recall it being an issue and being discussed. I don't exactly know.

  But that probably should have been part of this report.
- Q. Because as we talked about earlier one of your jobs is to pick up failings in policy -- in the very policy and procedure itself, not just find failure to comply.

MR. MALLAMAD: Objection.

- BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN:
- Q. Right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. So you'd agree that this report should have included some notice to the higher-ups that they implement better training on subject control so that it

Page 81 include clear direction on positional asphyxiation. 1 2 A. I would agree. 3 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 4 Go ahead, you can give your answer 5 now. 6 Α. I would agree. 7 Did you talk to the medical examiner? Q. 8 I did not. Α. 9 0. What does it mean to you that the medical 10 examiner ruled this death a homicide? 11 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 12 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 13 A. Just that, that it was ruled a homicide. 14 read --15 0. So what's that mean, though --16 Α. -- the medical examiner's report. 17 Q. -- you're -- I mean in the police world? 18 The civilian would say, wow, homicide, you know. Is that a significant fact for you as you perform your 19 duties as an Internal Affairs investigator? 20 21 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 22 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 23 Go ahead and answer. 24 Α. From this -- from a criminal investigation, maybe, but I did not conduct a criminal investigation. 25

Page 82 1 I was tasked with conducting an administrative review 2 of it, which would be focused on policy and did the 3 officers violate policy or not. 4 Now, the policy you thought they did violate was Q. 5 failing to call EMS quicker. 6 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 7 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 8 Α. Yes. 9 And that's part of the use of force policy, Q. 10 right? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. This duty to call EMS. And if you'd look at in 13 the book there --14 MR. MALLAMAD: What's the 15 number? 16 MR. GERHARDSTEIN: Yeah, I'm 17 looking. 18 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN: 19 It's 14. And is the -- it's the section you're 20 relying on when you made a recommendation on discipline 21 on page 8 of 15 at Roman numeral 5, capital A. 22 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 23 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 24 Α. Page 8? 25 8 of 15, yeah. And under it --Q.

Page 83 1 Α. Yes. 2 And it says in the second full sentence, members Q. 3 shall obtain necessary medical assistance for persons 4 appearing to be injured or complaining of injury. 5 Did I read that correctly? 6 Α. Yes. 7 0. And is that the basis for recommending 8 discipline? 9 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 10 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 11 I believe so. 12 What training did Aldridge and Myers have to Q. 13 know that the need to call for medical assistance 14 should have been done right away? 15 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 16 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN: 17 And I guess what kind of first aid training did Q. 18 they have, I guess, is the guestion. 19 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 20 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 21 Q. Do you know? 22 I do not know. Α. 23 What would you expect officers to have as Q. members of the sworn force in the Cleveland Police 24 25 Department?

			Page 8	3 4			
1		MR. BACEVICE:	Objection.				
2		MR. MALLAMAD:	Objection.				
3	A.	As far as first aid?					
4	Q.	Yeah.					
5	A.	Training to assess potential injury.					
6	Q.	And how often do they get that or did	d they get				
7	it prior to December or November 12th, 2014?						
8		MR. MALLAMAD:	Objection.				
9	A.	As far as training records go, I don	't know. I				
10	could	could say that prior to this incident I received a					
11	block	block of instruction in first aid training to involve					
12	CPR, and I think that in that training it did include						
13	some t	some type of injury assessment, if you will.					
14		I can't tell you the year that I received that					
15	traini	training. And I believe I received two blocks of it in					
16	two di	two different years.					
17		As far as Aldridge and Myers, I could	l not tell				
18	you if	they received that same training or n	iot.				
19	Q.	Isn't that kind of training just done	as part of				
20	annual	in-service?					
21	A.	Yes.					
22	Q.	So it's more likely than not that the	y got the				
23	same thing you did, right?						
24		MR. BACEVICE:	Objection.				
25	Α.	I'm not even sure when the exact h	ire date of				

Page 85 1 these officers. 2 Okay. Well, is that something you would check 3 before you recommend discipline? 4 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 5 Not necessarily. Because whether you received Α. specific training in injury assessment or not, I think 6 7 that given the circumstances a reasonable person would 8 or should have been able to assess that, particularly a 9 police officer given their experiences. 10 Which goes back to the factors you were looking 11 at, I mean, mental state, suddenly stopped moving and 12 talking, cold, inappropriately dressed, not the kind of 13 situation you'd want to see prolonged any longer than 14 absolutely necessary, right? 15 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 16 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 17 A. Correct. 18 And that's why you're thinking they should have at least known to call for EMS at the same time they 19 20 call the supervisor. 21 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 22 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 23 Α. Correct. 24 0. Did you ever find out why they didn't call EMS?

Objection.

MR. BACEVICE:

25

when taking -- when conducting a walk-through is reasonable.

Q. But you didn't do that, or -- Cleveland didn't do that.

MR. BACEVICE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Objection.

- A. To the best of my knowledge they were both present.
- Q. And was that the way things are normally done when UDFIT is doing a walk-through with officers who were -- where more than one officer participated in an incident that they would allow the officers to do the walk-through together?

MR. MALLAMAD:

Objection.

MR. BACEVICE:

Objection.

A. I'm trying to think of a -- of prior UDFITs.

It wouldn't surprise me that the prior UDFITs were done in that manner, although I can't speak exactly and tell you that they were all done in that manner.

There may have been a time where they were separated, but were potentially, just given that they were in two geographic areas, one was here and maybe one was down the street and they were separated, so I can't tell you that they're consistently done like that on purpose or not.

Page 88 1 If this incident were to be investigated today 2 would the officers be separated? 3 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 4 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 5 Α. I don't know. 6 0. Has there been any dialogue among those of you 7 in Inspections or in Internal about the practice of 8 permitting officers to do the walk-throughs together 9 rather than be separated? 10 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 11 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 12 I don't -- I don't recall that dialogue, if 13 there was. 14 0. Have you ever made any recommendations on that? 15 Α. Specific to --16 MR. MALLAMAD: I'm sorry, 17 just let me get -- put my objection on. 18 You can answer. 19 Α. Specific to the separating of the officers in a 20 walk-through, no. 21 As somebody who studies this and been trained in 22 it and now as a person who is an officer in charge, at 23 least of Inspections, do you have an opinion as to 24 whether it's appropriate to have the officers together 25 during a walk-through or whether they should be

Page 89 1 separated? 2 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 3 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 4 I would say separated would be a better 5 practice. 6 Are you doing anything to make that the policy 0. 7 going forward? 8 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 9 Α. I am currently not, no. 10 0. I'm giving you homework here. 11 MR. MALLAMAD: Al, let me 12 know when it's okay to take a quick break. 13 MR. GERHARDSTEIN: We can 14 take a break now. 15 (Thereupon, there was a brief 16 recess.) 17 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN: 18 0. Thank you for bringing your report today. 19 I notice that at page 3 of the report written on 20 January 26, 2015 your narrative says, "The officers 21 were unsure if she fell asleep, was faking, or reacting to her medications. While outside Joell Anderson 2.2 23 commented to the officers that sometimes Tanisha will 24 just crash or pass out. Also during this time Aldridge 25 periodically checked Anderson's pulse, which he

Page 90 1 detected." 2 Did I read that correctly? 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. The words you summarize from Joell don't include 5 as much as you told us today. I mean, it sounds like 6 the way you summarized it in your report that all Joell 7 said was that sometimes she crashes. 8 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 9 Go ahead. 10 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 11 That may have been -- that may have been taken 12 from the officers' interviews as well. I would have to 13 go back and look at the officers' interviews. 14 Q. All right. But your recollection is that Joell said something about how she, what, plays possum? 15 16 Or she always does this, or something to that 1.7 extent. I'm not --18 0. Well --19 -- I don't think he used the word possum. 20 Q. Okay. Well, and what I'm trying to get at, and help me out, is did Joell actually say that his sister 21 22 would fake being passed out, or did he say that she 23 will pass out from time to time? 24 Α. I don't --25 MR. BACEVICE: Objection.

- A. I don't think he used the word fake or possum or anything like that. I think that the -- that due to the dialogue between Joell Anderson and the officers, my opinion is that the officers took it as Joell Anderson was telling them that this is a type of a normal situation, she'll just do this.
- I don't think it was playing possum or faking anything, that this is normal and this is not out of the ordinary for her to do this.
- 10 Q. To collapse.
- A. Or to -- I don't know collapse, but, you know, stop moving in a way where she just, you know.
- 13 Q. Is unresponsive.
- 14 A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 15 Q. But she was in the officer's control.
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. She was cuffed.
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. She's prone.
- 20 **A**. Yes.
- 21 Q. She's on the sidewalk and it's 20-something
- 22 degrees.

- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And she's dressed inappropriately.
  - A. For the weather, yes.

Page 92 So even if Joell said, she does this, it wasn't 1 Q. 2 appropriate for the officers to leave her in that state 3 at that location. 4 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 5 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 6 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN: 7 Q. Without calling EMS. 8 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 9 Α. Correct. 10 Have you been in these situations where you Q. 11 check people's pulses? 12 I -- I -- I can't say that I was in a use of less lethal force where I had to check someone's pulse. 13 14 You know from whatever training you've had that Ο. that's actually kind of tricky, isn't it? 15 16 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 17 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 18 Q. Checking people's pulses. 19 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 20 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 21 Α. Tricky as in? 22 It's easy to get it wrong. Q. 23 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 24 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 25 Α. Sure, yes.

Page 94 1 government has an interest in protecting itself, but 2 yet also protecting a government employee at the same 3 time. So I believe it's New Jersey versus Garrity (1976). I'm not sure what it is, but --4 5 0. '67. 6 Α. '67? 7 So would you agree that an officer who makes statements after being given the protection of Garrity 8 9 can have those statements used against him or her in 10 administrative proceedings but not in criminal 11 proceedings? 12 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 13 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 14 Α. As long as he or she is telling the truth, yes. 15 How does an officer secure Garrity protection in Q. 16 Cleveland? What happens? 17 In Cleveland? A. 0. Yeah. What's your process? 19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α. Garrity is offered and not necessarily assumed by the officer, so several different ways.

If I send -- if I send an order to an officer ordering them to appear in the Bureau of Integrity Control for a statement under the terms of Garrity, then I'm asking, I'm telling them that they have to speak to me under the terms of Garrity.

If I -- I can verbalize to an officer, okay, you know, I'm going to ask you some questions under -- under Garrity.

Q. So Lieutenant Tucker can give Garrity protection?

MR. MALLAMAD:

Objection.

- A. Well, in the case of an UDFIT, a prosecutor would be consulted prior to that happening.
- Q. But if you're just doing an administrative investigation, you can offer Garrity protection without going to a prosecutor or somebody higher up?
- A. In the recent -- in recent months our city prosecutor has communicated to the division that she would like to be notified prior to any Garrity statements taken.

At this time, at the time of this incident in 2015 the answer to your question would have been yes, I can give Garrity to somebody and take a statement from them.

20 Q. In 2014.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 21 A. 2014. 2014 or 2015.
- Q. Okay. But today you'd have to call the prosecutor.
- A. She has -- she has made that known to our commander. Yes. She would like to be notified.

It's unclear, actually, whether she's referring to an Internal Affairs investigator who's conducting a potential criminal investigation, or someone in my position that's not conducting a criminal investigation. But there's been discussion concerning the practice of Garrity.

- Q. All right. So that's one of those things that's a little bit up in the air as to how it's done right now.
- 10 **A**. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 11 Q. Let's go back to 2014 --
- 12 **A.** Okay.
- Q. -- November. It's an UDFIT investigation, it's the Anderson investigation.
- Do you know how Garrity was secured for Aldridge and Myers?
  - A. Yes. So if there was -- in an UDFIT situation if there was an officer involved shooting that did not result in a homicide, the person in charge of UDFIT, for lack of better words, which would have been the officer in charge of the Homicide Unit, they would contact the city prosecutor.
  - Q. The city prosecutor.
- A. The city prosecutor by phone and detail to them
  the facts as he or she knew it at the time. This is

what is going on, this is where I'm at, this is what's happened.

The city prosecutor would then determine and/or respond and give the go-ahead to provide -- or allow a Garrity statement to be taken or not.

- Q. Have you ever had that discussion with a prosecutor in any of the cases you've been involved with?
- 9 A. Well, I've never been the officer in charge of the Homicide Unit.
- 11 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 12 A. So no.
- 13 But as an --
- 14 Q. Well, don't you --
- 15 A. -- Internal Affairs investigator --
- 16 Q. Yeah, don't you monitor that call?
- 17 | A. -- several.
- 18 Q. All right.
- A. All, actually. As an Internal Affairs
  investigator I would not have given somebody a Garrity
  statement without talking to the city prosecutor first

because I was dealing with a criminal investigation.

23 Q. Right.

22

A. In the case of an UDFIT where there's a death,
the county prosecutor was also consulted. We would

Page 98 also call the county -- a county prosecutor, a 1 2 designated prosecutor. 3 Do you know who was called in this case? 4 I want to say that it was Saleh Awadallah, but I 5 am not exactly positive. I did not have a conversation 6 with Mr. Awadallah or our county prosecutor or the 7 officer in charge of the Homicide Unit regarding this. And I don't -- I don't know if Lieutenant Goins 8 9 did or not. I'm not sure. 10 Was that before the walk-through? 11 I want to -- if I remember correctly, it was 12 before the walk-through. Should it have been before they took any 13 Q. statement from the officer? 14 15 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 16 Go ahead and answer. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Was there a practice of the UDFIT team giving 19 Garrity and then talking to the prosecutor? 20 Α. Was there a practice of the UDFIT talking to the 21 officers, then talking to the --22 0. Right. 23 Α. Not to my knowledge, no. 24 Is Awadallah county or city? 0. Okay.

County prosecutor.

25

Α.

Page 99 Would a member of Internal have monitored that 1 Q. 2 call? 3 Α. Monitored as in --Like you're tracking whoever made the call to 4 Q. 5 get Garrity is an UDFIT team member, that would have 6 a --7 Α. Yes. 8 I have this image of a parasite. I don't -- I Q. don't mean that. 9 10 That would have a shadow from --11 Α. Yes. 12 0. -- Internal, that person should have monitored that call, right? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 All right. To your knowledge did any of the 16 Garrity protected statements in this case travel with 17 the homicide file into the hands of people responsible 18 for any criminal prosecution? 19 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. Within the Homicide Unit? 20 Α. 21 Within the prosecutor's office. Q. 22 Α. I have no knowledge of that. 23 Q. Are you familiar with any of the controversy 24 around why it's taken 15 months to figure out if 25 there's going to be criminal charges in this case?

Page 100 1 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 2 I have no real knowledge of it. I -- the only 3 knowledge that I have of it is what I hear in the media. 4 5 0. Is this typical that officers would have a 6 criminal investigation pending with no charges for so 7 long? 8 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 9 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 10 Α. It has happened. 11 Q. This is lasting a lot longer than a normal 12 criminal investigation, right? 13 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 14 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 15 Α. For an officer, or for anyone? 16 0. No, for anyone. 17 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 18 MR. MALLAMAD: Same 19 objection. 20 Go ahead. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Do you have any advice as to how investigations 23 of officers could be -- the criminal determination of 24 charges or not could be wrapped up quicker so that these families would not be left in limbo so long? 25

Page 101 1 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 2 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 3 There are things that can be done to expedite Α. 4 criminal investigations, sure. 5 What would help in terms of what we're seeing in Q. 6 Cleveland with these long delays? 7 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 8 MR. MALLAMAD: Just show 9 a continuing objection. 10 Α. Well, one, potentially an outside agency 11 investigating them would be an option. 12 If it was an outside agency, you're recommending 13 or you're exploring that as an option for the criminal 14 investigation, right? 15 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 16 I'm -- I'm not exploring that. I gave that to 17 you as an option. 18 0. Right, but --19 And it's been discussed. Α. 20 -- didn't you say earlier that that was part of Q. 21 the DOJ debates? 22 Α. I -- I don't know what the DOJ's recommendation 23 is, but throughout the country this has been discussed 24 as far as outside agencies investigating criminal 25 matters.

- Q. Would that still leave a role for Inspection and
  Internal Affairs to proceed administratively?
- I mean, that'd stay internal, right?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. So you'd still have somebody following the 6 outside agency.
- A. Or conducting a concurrent administrative investigation is an option.
- 9 Q. Which you could do -- yeah, because you have 10 Garrity.
- 11 A. And has been done, you know, throughout the 12 country, some people do it that way.
- Q. Any other recommendations or thoughts as to how these criminal cases could be speeded up?
  - A. Well, I mean, it -- it, you know, it could come down -- it could boil down to manpower and I mean that, you know, now we're talking about outside agencies doing it, you know.
- 19 I'm not exactly sure what we're --
- 20 Q. Well --

15

16

17

- 21 **A**. -- trying to --
- Q. -- I mean, you're aware of the fact that no
  charges have been brought so the criminal investigation
  ultimately hasn't been concluded with a final
- prosecutorial determination, now it's 18 months.

Page 103 1 A. Right. 2 And that's unacceptable, right? Q. 3 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 4 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 5 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN: 6 So I'm just looking for ideas on what the family 7 could advocate for in terms of changes in this type of 8 system. 9 MR. BACEVICE: I'm not 10 sure that's appropriate for discovery. 11 MR. GERHARDSTEIN: Well, he 12 can answer, if he can. 13 MR. MALLAMAD: I have a 14 continuing objection, so go ahead. 15 I -- I don't know that I have anything to offer 16 specific to that. 17 In this case Garrity was awarded -- Garrity 18 protection was awarded to the two officers who came and 19 went earlier in the evening. 20 Are you aware of that? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Why? 23 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 24 I don't know. Α. 25 I mean, does the City of Cleveland just give Q.

	Page 104		
1	Garrity protection to anybody who wants it?		
2	MR. BACEVICE: Objection.		
3	MR. MALLAMAD: Objection.		
4	A. I would say I would say no.		
5	Q. But they there's no risk of criminal exposure		
6	to those two officers, is there?		
7	MR. MALLAMAD: Objection.		
8	A. I I did not identify criminal exposure on		
9	their part. I can't speak for someone else's		
10	decision		
11	Q. Well		
12	A as far as the Garrity goes.		
13	Q would the unnecessary extension of Garrity		
14	protection be something that you would include as a		
15	recommendation for further review in a report like the		
16	one you did in this case?		
17	MR. BACEVICE: Objection.		
18	MR. MALLAMAD: Objection.		
19	A. That would be reasonable.		
20	Q. And do you think those officers should have been		
21	those first two officers should have been granted		
22	Garrity protection?		
23	MR. BACEVICE: Objection.		
24	MR. MALLAMAD: Objection.		
25	A. Probably not.		

Page 105 1 In this case Aldridge and Myers were granted Q. 2 Garrity protection. The city accepted your 3 recommendation and brought charges against them as you 4 suggested, right? 5 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 6 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 7 Yes. Α. 8 Ο. And then the city entered into an agreement not 9 to pursue those charges until the criminal case was 10 concluded. 11 Are you aware of that? 12 I am. Α. 13 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 14 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 15 BY MR. GERHARDSTEIN: 16 Help me understand why, if the officers have 17 Garrity protection, the city wouldn't just go ahead and 18 finish what you started as opposed to stopping it and 19 waiting on some unknown criminal case. 20 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 21 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 22 A. I don't know why that agreement was created. 23 Q. Would you agree that Garrity protection would 24 continue through any predisciplinary hearing, any 25 arbitration, any administrative process those officers

Page 106 1 would go through as a result of the charges you 2 recommended? 3 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 4 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 5 Α. Yes. 6 So at least in terms of the way the system was 7 designed, there's really no reason to stop the 8 discipline once you've started it if they've got 9 Garrity protection, right? Objection. 10 MR. BACEVICE: 11 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 12 That's reasonable, right. Α. Are you aware of what other cities do in this 13 Q. situation? Is Cleveland different? 14 15 Where does this practice of stopping discipline 16 in a situation like this, where does this sit in terms of best practice, in terms of standards, in terms of 17 the procedure that's followed elsewhere? 18 19 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 20 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. I'm uncertain if other cities follow this type 21 Α. 22 of practice or not, to be quite honest with you. 23 Q. There was another interview done with a person 24 in the mayor's office that you monitored? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 107 She was called by Miss Overton, and then she was 1 0. 2 interviewed about what Miss Overton told her, right? 3 Α. Yes. What was that person's job in the mayor's 4 5 office? 6 I believe -- I believe she may have worked for 7 the mayor's action line, was a secretary, I'm not exactly sure, but I know she held an administrative 8 9 position in the mayor's office. 10 Did you interview the mayor in connection with Q. this? 11 12 Α. I did not, no. 13 0. Or was the mayor interviewed? 14 Α. To the best of my knowledge, no. 15 You looked at the whole file in making your Q. 16 recommendation for discipline. 17 Is there any other fact that you found to be 18 particularly important in this file that you weighed in 19 making your recommendation for discipline that we 20 haven't discussed? 21 MR. BACEVICE: Objection. 22 MR. MALLAMAD: Objection. 23 Α. Not that we haven't discussed, no. 24 MR. GERHARDSTEIN: Got 25 anything else?

	Page 108
1	All right. I don't have any other
2	questions. Thank you.
3	MR. MALLAMAD: Lieutenant
4	Tucker would like to review his testimony.
5	
6	(DEPOSITION CONCLUDED.)
7	Med dad Siza
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page	109
1	I have read the foregoing transcript from page 1	~	
2	through 110 and note the following corrections:		
3	PAGE LINE REQUESTED CHANGE		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19	Lieutenant Robert Tucker		
20	Subscribed and sworn to before me this d	ay	
21	of2016		
22			
23	-	1980 deleti kunikusi	
24	Notary Public		
25	My Commission expires:	hand had belleville and a	
Ě			8

	Page 110	
1	State of Ohio, ) SS: CERTIFICATE	
2	County of Cuyahoga. )	
3		
4	I, Janet M. Hoffmaster, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby	
5	certify that the within-named witness, LIEUTENANT ROBERT TUCKER, was by me first duly sworn to tell the	
6	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the	
7	cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by him was reduced to stenotypy, and afterwards transcribed by me through the process of computer-aided transcription,	
8	and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony so given by him as aforesaid.	
9		
10	I do further certify that this sworn statement was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption	
11	specified.	
12	I am not, nor is the court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in	
14	Civil Rule 28(D).	
15	I do further certify that I am not a relative, employee, or attorney of either party, or otherwise	
16	interested in the event of this action.	
17		
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland, Ohio, on	
19	this 21st day of June 2016.	
20		
21		
22	Janet M. Hoffmaster, RPR and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.	
23	My Commission expires October 8, 2017.	
24		
25		